

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(c)  
U.S. Appln. No. 09/479,999  
Attorney Docket No.: A7631

**REMARKS**

Claims 7-12 and 27-31 are all the claims pending in the application. By this Amendment, Applicant amends claims 7, 8, 11, and 27 to further clarify the invention. In addition, Applicant adds claims 32-38. Claims 32-38 are clearly supported throughout the specification.

**Statement of Substance of Interview and Prior Art Rejections**

Claims 7-12 and 27-31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,933,841 to Schumacher et al. (hereinafter "Schumacher") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,286,017 to Egilsson (hereinafter "Egilsson"). Applicant respectfully traverses this ground of rejections in view of the following comments.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the courteous telephonic interview on February 2, 2006. An Examiner's Interview Summary Record (PTO-413) was mailed to the Applicant on February 15, 2006. The PTO-413 requires the Applicant to file a Statement of Substance of the Interview. The Statement of Substance of the Interview is as follows:

During the interview independent claim 7 was discussed in view of Schumacher and Egilsson. The Examiner indicated that "dynamic generation" as set forth in claim 7 is very broadly interpreted and as such Schumacher's disclosure of displaying a particular section of the document based on user selection anticipates the "dynamic generation" set forth in claim 7. The Examiner suggested that Applicant should clarify the "dynamic generation" set forth in claim 7.

Accordingly, claim 7 *inter alia* recites: "dynamically generating a page of presentation material in response to a request for said first information by using the first variable to extract

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(c)  
U.S. Appln. No. 09/479,999  
Attorney Docket No.: A7631

said first information from the file and placing the first information in the page of presentation material.”

In Schumacher, on the other hand, once a request for a predetermined section is received, the web browser navigates through the displayed document to provide view access to this predetermined section. That is, Schumacher fails to disclose or suggest finding the predetermined section by using a first variable to extract the first information where this first information is placed on the page of presentation material. In Schumacher, a view access is provided to a corresponding section already displayed and the information for the corresponding section is not placed on the page for presentation. In short, Schumacher fails to disclose or suggest the dynamic generation set forth in claim 7.

Furthermore, the Examiner acknowledges that Schumacher fails to disclose or suggest “defining, in a first portion of the file, a first variable equal to first information and a second variable equal to second information; defining, in a second portion of the file, first and second presentation layouts, wherein said first presentation layout includes said first variable and said second presentation layout includes said second variable,” as set forth in claim 7.

Egilsson, however, only discloses associating icons with user selected variables and displaying the full value of a variable upon a user request. Egilsson, however, fails to disclose or suggest having a file with one portion having variables linked to information and in another portion having the variable linked with a presentation layout. That is, Egilsson fails to disclose or suggest a file with various portions, as required in claim 7. In short, Egilsson does not cure the deficient teachings of Schumacher. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(c)  
U.S. Appln. No. 09/479,999  
Attorney Docket No.: A7631

to withdraw this rejection of claim 7. Claims 8-10 are patentable at least by virtue of their dependency on claim 7.

Independent claims 11 and 27 recite features similar to, although not necessarily coextensive with, the features argued above with respect to claim 7. Therefore, arguments presented with respect to claim 7 are respectfully submitted to apply with equal force here. For at least substantially analogous reasons, therefore, independent claims 11 and 27 are patentable over Schumacher in view of Egilsson. Claims 12 and 28-31 are patentable at least by virtue of their dependency on claims 11 and 27, respectively.

In addition, claim 29 recites: “wherein a background is stored in the definition area and is combined with the selected presentation definition section for uniform display across the presentation areas displayed on a web browser.” It is Applicant’s position that the combined teachings of Schumacher and Egilsson, taken alone or in any conceivable combination, fail to disclose or suggest having a background stored in definition area and combining it with the selected presentation definition section. For at least these additional exemplary reasons, claim 29 is patentable over the combined teachings of Schumacher and Egilsson.

#### New Claims

In order to provide more varied protection, Applicant adds claims 32-38. Claims 32-38 are patentable at least by virtue of their dependency on claims 7, 11, and 27.

#### Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(c)  
U.S. Appln. No. 09/479,999  
Attorney Docket No.: A7631

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.


SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

**23373**

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nataliya Dvorsen  
Registration No. 56,616

Date: March 8, 2006

Attorney Docket No.: A7361